

COT 6401 The Analysis of Algorithms
Final Test
Open books and notes

Name _____ SSN _____

1. **(25%, Amortized Analysis)** In table expansion, we expand the table by allocating a new table with more slots than the old table had. In the new heuristic, we allocate a new table that has *four times as many slots as the old one*. Determine the amortized cost of a single insertion using (a) aggregate analysis, (b) the accounting method, and (c) the potential method.

2. (25%, Selection and Adversary Arguments) All elements in a given array $A[1..n]$ are identical except one (called distinguished element).
- (a) Design an algorithm that finds the distinguished element using $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ comparisons.
 - (b) Argue that $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ is the tight bound for this problem. (Your argument is not restricted to adversary arguments.)
 - (c) Determine the average (expectation) number of comparisons of your algorithm. Assume that the location of the distinguished element is a random number within $[1..n]$.

3. (25%, Probabilistic Analysis) In the hiring problem, we change the notion of “better” as follows: Assign scores $1, 2, \dots, n$ to n candidates. Each candidate gets a distinct score. Candidate i is better than candidate j if $\text{score}(i) > \text{score}(j) + 1$. Let $E_{\text{old}}[X]$ and $E_{\text{new}}[X]$ be the expected numbers of times we hire a new office assistant in HIRE-ASSISTANT(n) (page 92) and HIRE-ASSISTANT(n) under the new notion of “better”, respectively.

- (a) Determine $E_{\text{new}}[X] - E_{\text{old}}[X]$. (Hint: Calculate $\Pr\{\text{candidate } i \text{ is hired}\}$ and pay attention to the distribution of the candidate with score $\text{score}(i) - 1$.)
- (b) Verify your conclusion by determining $E_{\text{new}}[X]$ and $E_{\text{old}}[X]$ for $n = 3$ through enumerating all cases.

4. (25%, Approximation Algorithms) Given a $2 \times n$ rectangle of 1×1 squares. Some squares have black bullets and others are blank. Use a minimum number of 1×2 rectangles to *cover* all 1×1 squares with black bullets. An approximation algorithm is designed as follows: Randomly select two adjacent black bullets and cover them with a 1×2 rectangle. The process is repeated until only isolated bullets are left. Then each bullet is covered by a 1×2 rectangle.

- (a) Proof that it is a 1.5-approximation algorithm.
- (b) Show a case where the algorithm generates the exact 1.5 approximation.
- (c) If the rectangle is changed from $2 \times n$ to $n \times n$, will the result of the approximation algorithm (1.5 approximation) still hold?

5 Extra Points

The notion of “better” is defined the same way as in Problem 3 and is now applied to the on-line hiring problem; that is, the condition on line 6 of ON-LINE-MAXIMUM(k, n) (page 115) is now changed to “ $\text{score}(i) > \text{bestscore} + 1$ ”. Provide intuitive explanations to the following questions without formal derivation:

- Let $k = n/e + \Delta$ be the value that maximizes the lower bound on $\Pr(S)$. Should Δ be positive or negative? and why?
- Select one of the following: (a) $|\Delta| = 1$, (b) $|\Delta| < 1$, or (c) $|\Delta| > 1$. Justify your selection.